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## Error-correcting codes (recall)



- Primary use case: communication over a noisy channel.
- Main idea: introduce some redundancy in order to be able to correct the errors.
- Some structured error-correcting codes have efficient decoding algorithms.
- Decoding is, in general, a hard problem - so it is hard for random codes.
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- Main idea: introduce some redundancy in order to be able to correct the errors.
- Some structured error-correcting codes have efficient decoding algorithms.
- Decoding is, in general, a hard problem - so it is hard for random codes.

Hard problems (often) find their use in cryptography.
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## Linear codes (recall)

## Linear code

An $[n, k]$ linear code $\mathscr{C}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is a $k$-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$.

- The parameter $n$ is called the length of the code.
- The parameter $k$ is called the dimension of the code.
- The elements in the code are called codewords.
$r^{--}$Hamming metric
For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$, the Hamming weight of $\mathbf{x}$ is the number of nonzero elements, aka.

$$
\operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{x})=\left|\left\{i \in\{1, \ldots, n\} \mid x_{i} \neq 0\right\}\right| .
$$



## Binary linear codes

```
    Binary linear code
    An \([n, k]\) binary linear code \(\mathscr{C}\) is a \(k\)-dimensional subspace of \(\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}\).
```

- The parameter $n$ is called the length of the code.
- The parameter $k$ is called the dimension of the code.
- The elements in the code are called codewords.
$r^{--}$Hamming metric
For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$, the Hamming weight of $\mathbf{x}$ is the number of nonzero elements, aka.

$$
\operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{x})=\left|\left\{i \in\{1, \ldots, n\} \mid x_{i} \neq 0\right\}\right| .
$$
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## Binary linear code

An $[n, k]$ binary linear code $\mathscr{C}$ is a $k$-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$.

Example. $q=2, n=5, k=3$
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\mathbf{G}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0
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## Binary linear codes

## Binary linear code

An $[n, k]$ binary linear code $\mathscr{C}$ is a $k$-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$.

Example. $q=2, n=5, k=3$

$$
\mathbf{G}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
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1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Codewords: $\lambda_{1}(10101)+\lambda_{2}(11000)+\lambda_{3}(11110)$

Example. $\mathbf{c}_{1}=(111) \mathbf{G}=(10011)$,

$$
\mathbf{c}_{2}=(100) \mathbf{G}=(10101)
$$

## Decoding

$\longrightarrow$ Encoding: $\mathbf{c}=\mathbf{m G}$

$\longrightarrow$ Introducing error $\mathbf{e}$ of low weight: $\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{e}=\mathbf{m G}+\mathbf{e}$, s.t. $\mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{e})=t$.
$\longrightarrow$ Decoding: Given $\mathbf{y}$, find $\mathbf{c}$ s.t. $\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{e}$ and $\mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{e}) \leq t$.

## Representations of linear codes

$\longrightarrow$ The row space of a generator matrix $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{k \times n}:$

$$
\mathscr{C}=\left\{\mathbf{x} \mathbf{G} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{k}\right\} .
$$

Example, $n=7, k=4$
$\mathbf{G}=\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$
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We can form the parity-check matrix as $\mathbf{H}=\left(\mathbf{Q}^{\top} \mid \mathbf{I}_{n-k}\right)$.

Every codeword is in the kernel space of $\mathbf{H}$ :

$$
\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{m} \tilde{\mathbf{G}})^{\top}=\mathbf{H} \tilde{\mathbf{G}}^{\top} \mathbf{m}^{\top}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{Q}^{\top} & \mathbf{I}_{n-k}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\mathbf{I}_{k}}{\mathbf{Q}^{\top}} \mathbf{m}^{\top}=\left(\mathbf{Q}^{\top}+\mathbf{Q}^{\top}\right) \mathbf{m}^{\top}=\mathbf{0} \cdot \mathbf{m}^{\top}=\mathbf{0}
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Columns correspond to a bit pattern of length $(n-k)$.
Example, $n=7, k=4$

\[
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## Example: Hamming code

An error occurs.
Example. $n=7, k=4$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{H} \\
\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
1
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An error occurs.
Example. $n=7, k=4$
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\left.\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1
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0 \\
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& \left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right) \\
& \longrightarrow
\end{aligned}
$$

The failure pattern uniquely identifies the error location.
$\longrightarrow$
We will call it a syndrome.

## Syndrome decoding



## Syndrome decoding

$$
\mathbf{H y}=\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{e})=\mathbf{H c}+\mathbf{H e}=\mathbf{0}+\mathbf{H e}=\mathbf{H e}
$$
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## The syndrome decoding problem

Given a syndrome $\mathbf{s}=\mathbf{H e}$, find $\mathbf{e}$ such that $\mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{e}) \leq t$.

\[

\]

Find $\mathbf{e}$ of minimum weight.


Information set decoding

## Information set decoding algorithms

Focus on the case $\mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{e})=t$

## The syndrome decoding problem

Given a syndrome $\mathbf{s}=\mathbf{H e}$, find $\mathbf{e}$ such that $\mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{e})=t$.

## Brute force
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## Brute force


$\mathbf{s}$ is equal to the sum of the columns where $e_{i}$ is nonzero.

## Brute force


s is equal to the sum of the columns where $e_{i}$ is nonzero.

Pick any group of $t$ columns of $\mathbf{H}$, add them and compare with $\mathbf{s}$.

## Brute force: complexity



Pick any group of $t$ columns of $\mathbf{H}$, add them and compare with $\mathbf{s}$.
$\longrightarrow$ Cost: $\binom{n}{t}$ sums of $t$ columns.
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Permute $\mathbf{H}$ and bring to systematic form.
Suppose that all $t$ errors are in the identity (right) part. Then $\mathbf{e}^{\prime}=(000 \ldots) \| \mathbf{U s}$ and $\mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{U s})=t$.

## Prange's attack


$\longrightarrow$ Permute $\mathbf{H}$ and bring to systematic form.
Suppose that all $t$ errors are in the identity (right) part. Then $\mathbf{e}^{\prime}=(000 \ldots) \| \mathbf{U s}$ and $w t(\mathbf{U s})=t$.
$\longrightarrow$ If $\operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{U s})=t$, then output unpermuted version of $\mathbf{e}^{\prime}$.
$\longrightarrow$ Else, return to the first step and rerandomize: choose a new permutation.

## Prange's attack: complexity




## $\longrightarrow$ Permute $\mathbf{H}$ and bring to systematic form.

$\longrightarrow$ If $w t(\mathbf{U s})=t$, then output unpermuted version of $\mathbf{e}$
Else, return to the first step and rerandomize: choose a new permutation.
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## $\longrightarrow$ Permute $\mathbf{H}$ and bring to systematic form.

$\longrightarrow$ If $w t(\mathbf{U s})=t$, then output unpermuted version of $\mathbf{e}$
Else, return to the first step and rerandomize: choose a new permutation.

All errors are in
the identity part
$\xrightarrow{\longrightarrow}$
Probability that we are in the correct configuration:


## Prange's attack: complexity



## $\longrightarrow$ Permute $\mathbf{H}$ and bring to systematic form.

$\longrightarrow$ If $\mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{U s})=t$, then output unpermuted version of $\mathbf{e}$.
Else, return to the first step and rerandomize: choose a new permutation.

All errors are in the identity part

Probability that we are in the correct configuration:

$$
\frac{\binom{n-k}{t}}{\binom{n}{t}}
$$

$$
\longrightarrow \text { Cost: } \frac{\binom{n}{t}}{\binom{n-k}{t}} \text { matrix operations. }
$$

## Lee-Brickell attack



Permute $\mathbf{H}$ and bring to systematic form.

## Lee-Brickell attack



[^0]
## Lee-Brickell attack


$\longrightarrow$ Permute $\mathbf{H}$ and bring to systematic form.
Suppose that there are $(t-p)$ errors are in the identity (right) part and $p$ errors in the left part.
Then, $\mathbf{s}^{\prime}$ is random-looking, but $\mathbf{s}^{\prime}$ summed with the error columns on the left has weight $t-p$ : $\mathrm{wt}\left(\mathbf{s}^{\prime}+\mathbf{Q p}\right)=t-p$.

## Lee-Brickell attack


Let $\mathbf{p}$ be a vector chosen from
$\left\{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{F}^{k} \mid \mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{p})=p\right\}$

Permute $\mathbf{H}$ and bring to systematic form.
Suppose that there are $(t-p)$ errors are in the identity (right) part and $p$ errors in the left part.
Then, $\mathbf{s}^{\prime}$ is random-looking, but $\mathbf{s}^{\prime}$ summed with the error columns on the left has weight $t-p$ : $\mathrm{wt}\left(\mathbf{s}^{\prime}+\mathbf{Q p}\right)=t-p$.

## Lee-Brickell attack


$\longrightarrow$ Permute $\mathbf{H}$ and bring to systematic form.
$\longrightarrow$ Pick $p$ of the columns on the left and compute their sum: Qp.
$\longrightarrow$ If $\mathrm{wt}\left(\mathbf{s}^{\prime}+\mathbf{Q p}\right)=t-p$ then put $\mathbf{e}^{\prime}=\mathbf{p} \|\left(\mathbf{s}^{\prime}+\mathbf{Q p}\right)$. Output unpermuted version of $\mathbf{e}$.
$\longrightarrow$ Else, return to the second step to choose another subset of columns from $\mathbf{Q}$, or return to the first step and rerandomize.

Lee-Brickell attack: complexity


## $\%$

## Lee-Brickell attack: complexity



## Lee-Brickell attack: complexity



Leon's attack


Since $\mathbf{s}^{\prime}+\mathbf{Q p}$ should be of low weight, we check instead if an arbitrary subset of $l$ rows are all zero.

## Leon's attack



## Leon's attack: complexity

## :



Stern's attack


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{llll}
\mathbf{e}^{\prime} & \mathbf{H}_{2} & \mathbf{H}_{6} & \mathrm{~s}^{\prime}+\mathbf{Q p}
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{c}
+ \\
\text { ! } \\
+1
\end{array} \\
& \theta+\theta=\vec{\square}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Stern's attack



Suppose that there are exactly $\frac{p}{2}$ errors in the first half of $\mathbf{Q}$ and exactly $\frac{p}{2}$ errors in the first half of $\mathbf{Q}$.

## Stern's attack



Suppose that there are exactly $\frac{p}{2}$ errors in the first half of $\mathbf{Q}$ and exactly $\frac{p}{2}$ errors in the first half of $\mathbf{Q}$.

Instead of looking for an all zero subset of rows, we are looking for a collision.

## Stern's attack


$\longrightarrow$ Pick a subset $L$ of $l$ rows: $\mathbf{H}_{L}$.
$\longrightarrow$ Permute $\mathbf{H}$ and bring to systematic form (then $\mathbf{H}_{L}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathbf{Q}_{L} & \mathbf{I}_{L}\end{array}\right)$ ).
$\longrightarrow$ Split $\mathbf{Q}$ into two disjoint parts: $\mathbf{Q}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B}\end{array}\right)$.
$\longrightarrow$ Build a list of vectors $\left(\mathbf{s}_{L}^{\prime}+\mathbf{A}_{L} \mathbf{a}\right)$ for all (many) $\mathbf{a}$. $\qquad$ $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ are vectors chosen from $W=\left\{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{k / 2} \left\lvert\, \mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{w})=\frac{p}{2}\right.\right\}$
For all (many) b: $\qquad$
$\longrightarrow$ If $\mathbf{B}_{L} \mathbf{b}$ collides with (is equal to) any of the vectors in the list built in the fourth step
$\longrightarrow$ If wt $\left(\mathbf{s}^{\prime}+\mathbf{A a}+\mathbf{B b}\right)=t-p$ then put $\mathbf{e}^{\prime}=\mathbf{a}\|\mathbf{b}\|\left(\mathbf{s}^{\prime}+\mathbf{A a}+\mathbf{B b}\right)$. Output unpermuted version of $\mathbf{e}$.
Else return to the second step and rerandomize.

## Stern's attack: complexity




## ISD algorithms summary

Prange


Lee-Brickell


Leon


Stern


MPC-in-the-Head construction


[^0]:    Permute $\mathbf{H}$ and bring to systematic form.
    Suppose that there are $(t-p)$ errors are in the identity (right) part and $p$ errors in the left part.

